

**Natural Resources Conservation Service
Application Ranking Summary
Grant-San Francisco SWCD**

Program: EQIP 2002	Ranking Date:
Ranking Tool: Grant-San Francisco SWCD	
Final Ranking Score:	
Planner:	
Farm Location:	

National Priorities Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in considerable reductions of non-point source pollution, such as nutrients, sediment, pesticides, excess salinity in impaired watersheds, groundwater contamination or point source contamination from confined animal feeding operations?	60 Point(s)
2. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable amount of ground or surface water conservation?	60 Point(s)
3. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction of emissions, such as particulate matter, nitrogen oxides (NOx), volatile organic compounds, and ozone precursors and depleters that contribute to air quality impairment violations of National Ambient Air Quality Standards?	60 Point(s)
4. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable reduction in soil erosion and sedimentation from unacceptable levels on agricultural land?	20 Point(s)
5. Will the treatment you intend to implement using EQIP result in a considerable increase in the promotion of at-risk species habitat conservation?	50 Point(s)

State Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Irr. Crop #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)
2. Irr. Crop #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)
3. Irr. Crop #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
4. Irr. Crop #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
5. Irr. Crop #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)
6. Grazing #1 - Treatment of this land will have a beneficial impact on a 303(d) listed stream segment?	50 Point(s)
7. Grazing #2 - Treatment of this land will enhance the benefits of an active section 319 project?	50 Point(s)
8. Grazing #3 - This land is within a NMED Category I watershed?	50 Point(s)
9. Grazing #4 - Habitat for an at-risk species will be protected/enhanced?	50 Point(s)
10. Grazing #5 - Noxious weeds are present and will be treated?	50 Point(s)

Local Issues Addressed

Issue Questions	Responses
1. Graze #1 - Has this applicant had a previous contract terminated due to non-compliance? (If yes the application will be not considered for funding until all High and Medium ranked applications have been funded) -50 pt.	-50 Point(s)
2. Graze #2 - Are the proposed actions and treatment practices likely to result in a positive response of at least one category code in two of the three Rangeland Health Attributes (Soil Site Stability, Hydrologic Function, Biotic Integrity) for multiple Ecological Sites? If "YES" skip questions 2, 3, 4. 100 pt.	100 Point(s)
3. Graze #3 - Are the proposed actions and treatment practices likely to result in a positive response of at least one category code in one of the three Rangeland Health Attributes (Soil Site Stability, Hydrologic Function, Biotic Integrity) for multiple Ecological Sites? If "YES" skip questions 3, 4. 60 pt.	60 Point(s)

Natural Resources Conservation Service
Application Ranking Summary
Grant-San Francisco SWCD

Issue Questions	Responses
4. Graze #4 - Are the proposed actions and treatment practices likely to result in a positive response of at least one category code in two of the three Rangeland Health Attributes (Soil Site Stability, Hydrologic Function, Biotic Integrity) for an Ecological Site? If "YES" skip question 4. 50 pt.	50 Point(s)
5. Graze #5 - Are the proposed actions and treatment practices likely to result in a positive response of at least one category code in one of the three Rangeland Health Attributes (Soil Site Stability, Hydrologic Function, Biotic Integrity) for an Ecological Site? 30 pt.	30 Point(s)
6. Graze #6 - Will the proposed treatment address sheet or rill soil erosion? 30 pt.	30 Point(s)
7. Graze #7 - Will the proposed treatment address classic gully erosion through approved NRCS engineering practices? 50 pt.	50 Point(s)
8. Graze #8 - Will the proposed action contain multiple practices having a positive effect on the resource concern of soil erosion on grazing lands? 15 pt.	15 Point(s)
9. Graze #9 - Will the proposed action contain only a single practice having a positive effect on the resource concern of soil erosion on grazing lands? 5 pt.	5 Point(s)
10. Graze #10 - Will the proposed action contain multiple practices having a positive effect on the resource concern of water quantity on grazing lands? 15 pt.	15 Point(s)
11. Graze #11 - Will the proposed action contain only a single practice having a positive effect on the resource concern of water quantity on grazing lands? 5 pt.	5 Point(s)
12. Graze #12 - Will the proposed treatment address 75% or greater of an identified brush management concern? 50 pt.	50 Point(s)
13. Graze #13 - Will the proposed treatment address 50% to 75% of an identified brush management concern? 30 pt.	30 Point(s)
14. Graze #14 - Will the proposed treatment address 50% or less of an identified brush management concern? 20 pt.	20 Point(s)
15. Graze #15 - Will the brush species of concern be juniper or mesquite? 25 pt.	25 Point(s)
16. Graze #16 - Are conservation practices in place, or will a proposed treatment allow for the implementation or continuation of a rotational grazing system? 20 pt.	20 Point(s)
17. Graze #17 - Will the proposed action contain multiple practices having a positive effect on the resource concern of plant condition on grazing lands? 15 pt.	15 Point(s)
18. Graze #18 - Will the proposed action contain only a single practice having a positive effect on the resource concern of plant condition on grazing lands? 5 pt.	5 Point(s)
19. Graze #19 - Will the proposed action include treatment of state listed (class A or B) non-native invasive plants? 60 pts.	60 Point(s)
20. Graze #20 - Will the proposed action address the animal need for water quantity for all of the year? 20 pt.	20 Point(s)
21. Graze #21 - Will the proposed action address the animal need for water quantity for only part of the year? 10 pt.	10 Point(s)
22. Irr. Crop #1 - Has this applicant had a previous contract terminated due to non-compliance? (If yes the application will be not considered for funding until all High and Medium ranked applications have been funded) -50	-50 Point(s)
23. Irr. Crop #2 - Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of <10% to 10%? 40 pts.	40 Point(s)
24. Irr. Crop #3 - Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 11% to 20%? 95 pts.	95 Point(s)
25. Irr. Crop #4 - Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 21% to 30%? 120 pts.	120 Point(s)
26. Irr. Crop #5 - Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 31% to 40%? 150 pts.	150 Point(s)
27. Irr. Crop #6 - Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of 41% to 50%? 175 pts.	30 Point(s)

Natural Resources Conservation Service
Application Ranking Summary
Grant-San Francisco SWCD

Issue Questions	Responses
28. Irr. Crop #7 - Will the proposed action result in an irrigation efficiency improvement of >50%? 200 pts.	200 Point(s)
29. Irr. Crop #8 - Will the proposed action be to convert from surface to sprinkler irrigation? 25 pts.	25 Point(s)
30. Irr. Crop #9 - Will the proposed action include treatment of state listed (class A or B) non-native invasive plants? 60 pts.	60 Point(s)
31. Irr. Crop #10 - Will the proposed action address reduction of surface water contaminants through the NM approved practices list? 60 pts.	60 Point(s)
32. Irr. Crop #11 - Will the proposed action include practices that significantly reduce irrigation induced erosion? 25 pts.	25 Point(s)
33. Irr. Crop #12 - Will the proposed action result in a positive benefit (as documented by the Wildlife Habitat Evaluation Guide) to habitat requirements of wildlife species of special concern? 20 pts.	20 Point(s)
34. Irr. Crop #13 - Will the proposed action result in a positive benefit (as documented by the Wildlife Habitat Evaluation Guide) to habitat of terrestrial and aquatic wildlife species? 10 pts.	10 Point(s)